

Exceptional Exceptions in .NET

Adam Sitnik

About myself

Work:



- Energy Trading
- Energy Production Optimization
- Balance Settlement
- Critical Events Detection

Open Source:

- **BenchmarkDotNet**
- Core CLR
- corefxlab

Have you ever..

- Wondered if finally block are guaranteed to be executed?
- Encountered a silent error?
- Encountered an exception that omitted your catch blocks?
- Failed to find reason for exceptional behaviour?
- Measured performance for *throw exception vs return false?*

Single error in logs

18:44:38 [Error] The communication object, System.ServiceModel.Channels.ServiceChannel, cannot be used for communication because it is in the Faulted state.

Exception rethrown at [0]:

- at System.Runtime.Remoting.Proxies.RealProxy.HandleReturnMessage(..)
- at System.Runtime.Remoting.Proxies.RealProxy.PrivateInvoke(..)
- at System.ServiceModel.ICommunicationObject.Close(TimeSpan timeout)
- at System.ServiceModel.ClientBase`1.System.ServiceModel(..).Close
- at Samples.Dispose()

The code that caused the error

```
var client = new WcfClient();  
  
try  
{  
    client.Open();  
  
    client.Save(data);  
}  
finally  
{  
    client.Dispose();  
}
```

What happens if finally block throws an exception?

```
try
{
    try
    {
        throw new Exception("first");
    }
    finally
    {
        throw new Exception("second");
    }
}
catch (Exception ex)
{
    Console.WriteLine(ex.Message);
}
```

second

Reason

C# 4 Language Specification:

§ 8.9.5: If the finally block throws another exception, processing of the current exception is terminated.

Does the finally block ALWAYS execute?

NO!

- Win32 TerminateThread()
- Win32 TerminateProcess()
- System.Environment.FailFast (*CriticalFinalizerObject)
- Corrupted State Exception*
- Obvious things like pull the plug etc.

What happens to all resources when process gets killed and finally blocks are not executed?

Name	Status	6% CPU	57% Memory	0% Disk	0% Network
▶ Microsoft Visual Studio 2015 (32 bit)		0%	748,9 MB	0 MB/s	0 Mbps
▶ Google Chrome (32 bit) (4)		0,5%	283,2 MB	0,1 MB/s	0 Mbps
Google Chrome (32 bit)		0%	279,0 MB	0 MB/s	0 Mbps
Google Chrome (32 bit)		0%	170,4 MB	0 MB/s	0 Mbps
▲ Microsoft PowerPoint		0%	157,7 MB	0 MB/s	0 Mbps
DotNext Exceptional Exceptions.pp...					
Google Chrome (32 bit)		0%	150,2 MB	0 MB/s	0 Mbps
Google Chrome (32 bit)		0%	136,2 MB	0 MB/s	0 Mbps
Google Chrome (32 bit)		0%	134,9 MB	0 MB/s	0 Mbps
Gooale Chrome (32 bit)		0%	132,5 MB	0 MB/s	0 Mbps

Switch to
Bring to front
Minimize
Maximize
End task

ThreadAbortedException

- `Thread.Abort()`
- `AppDomain.Unload()`
- You can catch it, but anyway .NET will rethrow it

Can ThreadAbortedException interrupt finally?

NO!

```
void Execute(Action first, Action second)
{
    try { } // empty on purpose!
    finally
    {
        first();
        // thread abort can't happen here!
        second();
    }
}
```

How to minimize chance for failure in finally block?

- Keep it as simple as possible: avoid allocations etc
- Use defensive programming

```
if(handle.IsAllocated)  
    handle.Free();
```

```
stream?.Dispose();
```

- Use Constrained Execution Regions (CER)

Constrained Execution Regions: Sample

```
RuntimeHelpers.PrepareConstrainedRegions();  
try  
{  
    // perform some important operation here  
}  
finally  
{  
    // perform cleanup here  
}
```

Constrained Execution Regions: What CLR does

Before entering try block:

- load all assemblies
- compile all that code (non-virtual [ReliabilityContract] methods)
- run static constructors
- check if 48 KB of stack space is available

Constrained Execution Regions: Benefits

Elimination of potential exceptions:

- `FileNotFoundException`, `FileLoadException`
- `BadImageFormatException`, `InvalidProgramException`
- `FieldAccessException`, `MethodAccessException`, `MissingFieldException`, and `MissingMethodException`
- `TypeInitializationException`
- `StackOverflowException`

Constrained Execution Regions: where it throws


```
void ThrowingCER()
{
    RuntimeHelpers.PrepareConstrainedRegions();
    try
    {
        // will never be executed
    }
    finally
    {
        // static ctor fails with exception
    }
}
```

```
try
{
    ThrowingCER();
}
catch (Exception ex)
{
    // can be handled here!!
}
```


Exceptional Exceptions

Do you know any of these?

FirstChanceException



- AppDomain's **event**
- It occurs **before** CLR starts looking for EH block

It's not what you think

- CLS: language must support catching & throwing : System.Exception
- CLR allows any object to be thrown!
- CLR 2.0 introduced **RuntimeWrappedException**

How to throw anything w/ C# (don't do this at work!)

```
public static Action<TThrown> BuildThrowingMethod<TThrown>()
{
    var dynamicMethod = new DynamicMethod(
        "Throw",
        returnType: typeof(void),
        parameterTypes: new[] { typeof(TThrown) });

    var cilGenerator = dynamicMethod.GetILGenerator();
    cilGenerator.Emit(OpCodes.Ldarg_0); // load the argument
    cilGenerator.Emit(OpCodes.Throw); // throw whatever it is!

    return (Action<TThrown>)dynamicMethod
        .CreateDelegate(typeof(Action<TThrown>));
}
```

We can throw literally anything but it's an anti-pattern!!

Catching RWE

```
try {  
    Action<string> throwingMethod =  
        ThrowAnythingMethodBuilder.BuildThrowingMethod<string>();  
  
    throwingMethod.Invoke("I can throw whatever I want");  
}  
catch (Exception wrappedException) {  
    Console.WriteLine(wrappedException.Message);  
}
```

An object that does not derive from System.Exception has been wrapped in a RuntimeWrappedException.

TargetInvocationException

```
class Calc {
    static int Sum(int left, int right) => checked(left + right);
}

var method = typeof(Calc).GetMethod("Sum", BindingFlags.Static | BindingFlags.NonPublic);
try {
    var result = method.Invoke(null, new object[] { int.MaxValue, int.MaxValue });
}
catch (OverflowException) {
    Console.WriteLine("Overflow");
}
catch (TargetInvocationException ex) {
    Console.WriteLine("Reflection wraps all exceptions!" + ex.InnerException);
}
```

Does dynamic wraps exceptions too?

```
public class Calc {  
    public int Sum(int left, int right) => checked(left + right);  
}
```

```
dynamic instance = Activator.CreateInstance<Calc>();  
try {  
    var result = instance.Sum(int.MaxValue, int.MaxValue);  
}  
catch (OverflowException) {  
    Console.WriteLine("Overflow");  
}  
catch (TargetInvocationException ex) {  
    Console.WriteLine("Got wrapped" + ex.InnerException);  
}
```

Overflow

TypeInitializationException

```
class Pool
{
    static byte[] buffer;

    static Pool()
    {
        buffer = new byte[int.MaxValue];
    }

    Span<byte> Acquire(int length)(..)
}

```

```
try {
    Pool.Acquire(100);
}
catch (OutOfMemoryException) {
    Console.WriteLine("OOM");
}
catch (TypeInitializationException ex)
{
    Console.WriteLine("Wrapped!"
        + ex.InnerException);
}

```


Native to Managed translation

Native Exception	Managed Exception
EXCEPTION_STACK_OVERFLOW	System.StackOverflowException
EXCEPTION_ACCESS_VIOLATION	System.AccessViolationException
EXCEPTION_IN_PAGE_ERROR	
EXCEPTION_ILLEGAL_INSTRUCTION	
EXCEPTION_INVALID_DISPOSITION	System.Runtime.InteropServices
EXCEPTION_NONCONTINUABLE_EXCEPTION	.SEHException
EXCEPTION_PRIV_INSTRUCTION	
STATUS_UNWIND_CONSOLIDATE	


How to catch Corrupted State Exceptions (CSEs)?


```
[HandleProcessCorruptedStateExceptions] // mandatory
[SecurityCritical] // also mandatory
public void CanCatchCSE()
{
    try
    {
        CallNativeCode();
    }
    catch (Exception ex)
    {
        Handle(ex);
    }
}
```

.NET Core: Breaking changes!

„Unrecoverable exceptions should not be getting caught and will be dealt with on a broad level by a high-level catch-all handler. Therefore, users are not expected to have code that catches these explicit exceptions. The list of unrecoverable exceptions are:

- *StackOverflowException*
- *SEHException*
- *ExecutionEngineException*
- *AccessViolationException*”





How to avoid StackOverflowException

- Redesign your code to use tail recursion
- Redesign your code to use iterative approach
- Set limits
- Use `void RuntimeHelper.EnsureSufficientExecutionStack()`
- Use `bool TryEnsureSufficientExecutionStack()` (.NET Core 1.1)

Can OutOfMemoryException be caught?

It depends on who tried to allocate memory ;)

User:

- Creating new object
- Creating new array
- Boxing
- & more

CLR:

- Loading assemblies
- JITting
- & more

Not enough contiguous memory is available

- Memory leaks
- Heap fragmentation (LOH and/or unmanaged heap)

```
GCSettings.LargeObjectHeapCompactionMode =  
GCLargeObjectHeapCompactionMode.CompactOnce;
```

- Hit 32-bit address space limit (2GB by default, can set to 3 GB)
- Tried to allocate array > 2GB, set <gcAllowVeryLargeObjects enabled="true" />
- Other „memory hungry” process took all the available memory from OS
- Reached the configurable limit for the process

ExecutionEngineException

- Thrown by CLR when it detects internal corruption or bug in itself.
- No catch block or finally blocks will be executed after

AggregateException

```
Task.Factory.StartNew(() =>
{
    Task.Factory.StartNew(
        () => { throw new Exception("first task has failed"); },
        TaskCreationOptions.AttachedToParent);

    Task.Factory.StartNew(
        () => { throw new Exception("second task has failed"); },
        TaskCreationOptions.AttachedToParent);
});
```

How async/await handles AggregateExceptions?

```
public async Task Demo()  
{  
    try  
    {  
        await ThrowsAggregatedExceptionAsync();  
    }  
    catch (Exception ex)  
    {  
        Console.WriteLine(ex.Message);  
    }  
}
```

Catching awaited AggregatedException

▶ InnerException	{System.Exception: first task has failed at Demo.AsyncAwaitAggregatedException.<>c.<ThrowAggregatedException>b_1}
▲ InnerExceptions	Count = 1
▲ [0]	{System.Exception: first task has failed at Demo.AsyncAwaitAggregatedException.<>c.<ThrowAggregatedException>b_1}
▶ Data	{System.Collections.ListDictionaryInternal}
HResult	-2146233088
HelpLink	null
▶ InnerException	null
Message	"first task has failed"
Source	"Demo"
StackTrace	" at Demo.AsyncAwaitAggregatedException.<>c.<ThrowAggregatedException>b_1
▶ Static members	
▶ Non-Public members	
▶ Raw View	
Message	"One or more errors occurred. (first task has failed)"
Source	System.Private.CoreLib
StackTrace	" at System.Runtime.CompilerServices.TaskAwaiter.ThrowForNonSuccess(Task task)\r\n

The information about other exceptions has been LOST!

How to handle AggregatedException today

```
async Task DemoAsync() {
    Task firstTask = ThrowsAggregatedExceptionAsync();

    Task errorHandler = firstTask.ContinueWith(previous => Handle(previous.Exception),
        TaskContinuationOptions.OnlyOnFaulted);

    Task processingResults = firstTask.ContinueWith(ProcessResult,
        TaskContinuationOptions.OnlyOnRanToCompletion);

    await Task.WhenAny(errorHandler, processingResults);
}

void Handle(AggregateException ex) {
    foreach (var exception in ex.Flatten().InnerExceptions)
        Console.WriteLine(exception.Message);
}
```

How to deny child task attaching

- `Task.Factory.StartNew(action, TaskCreationOptions.DenyChildAttach);`
- `Task.Run(action);`
- A must have setting for every Task returning method for frameworks

What if Fire&Forget task fails with exception?

```
private void Fail()  
{  
    throw new Exception("please help me");  
}  
  
public void Demo()  
{  
    Task.Run(() => Fail());  
    // the result is not stored or checked anywhere!!  
}
```

Unobserved Task Exceptions

- Task-derived objects are finalizable.
- When finalizer thread **eventually** runs the finalizer of failed, unobserved task it raises the `UnobservedTaskException` event.

```
TaskScheduler.UnobservedTaskException += HandleTaskExceptions;
```

```
void HandleTaskExceptions(object sender, UnobservedTaskExceptionEventArgs e) {  
    foreach (Exception exception in e.Exception.InnerExceptions)  
        Handle(exception);  
  
    e.SetObserved();  
}
```


When Task Exception remains unobserved

NET 4.0

The finalizer thread

rethrows the exception.

Which **kills the entire process!**

NET 4.5+

The finalizer thread

swallows the exception.

Silent error!

```
<ThrowUnobservedTaskExceptions enabled="true"/>
```

Unhandled exceptions

- .NET 1.0 – 1.1 silently swallowed for background threads
- .NET 2.0+ - terminates the process
- System.AppDomain.UnhandledException (except Windows Store and .NET Core)
- Windows.UI.Xaml.Application.UnhandledException (Windows Store)
- System.Windows.Application.DispatcherUnhandledException (WPF)
- System.ServiceModel.Dispatcher.ChannelDispatcher.ErrorHandlers (WCF)
- (...)

Performance

So which parts of the exception handling mechanism are taking time?

Throwing? Catching? Executing Finally blocks?

Does it cost anything to have a throw block that is not executed?

Executing finally block when no exception is thrown

```
[MethodImpl(MethodImplOptions.NoInlining)]  
void EmptyMethod() { }
```

```
[Benchmark]  
void NoFinally() => EmptyMethod();
```

```
[Benchmark]  
public void Finally()  
{  
    try { }  
    finally  
    {  
        EmptyMethod();  
    }  
}
```


Method	Mean
Finally	3.3245 ns
NoFinally	0.8568 ns

Cost of having a throw instruction inside a method

```
readonly T value;  
readonly Exception exception;
```

```
T ResultWithThrow()  
{  
    if (exception != null)  
        throw exception;  
  
    return value;  
}
```

Effect of inlining



How to make inlining possible

```
T ResultWithThrowInSeparateMethod()
{
    if (exception != null)
        Throw(); // move throw to other method


    return value;
}

void Throw() { throw exception; }
```

Throw & Catch VS Return Failure VS TryOut

Method	Mean
ThrowAndCatch	5,533.0462 ns
ReturnFailure	1.792 ns
TryOut	1.779 ns

Depth =
distance
on
Callstack
from
throw to
catch



What can we do about the
cost of Exception Handling?

Summary

- Finally can overwrite current exception
- You will fail, prepare backup plan for that
- Exceptions gets wrapped (Reflection, static ctors)
- Native exceptions = Corrupted State Exceptions are not catchable by default
- Async/await does not handle AggregatedExceptions well
- Don't fire and forget the tasks
- Exception handling is very expensive, don't use it for Flow Control

Sources

Books:

1. CLR via C#
2. .NET IL Assembler
3. Pro Asynchronous Programming with .NET

Websites:

- [.NET Core: Breaking Change Rules](#)
- [Keep Your Code Running with the Reliability Features of the .NET Framework by Stephen Toub](#)

Questions?

@SitnikAdam

Adam.Sitnik@gmail.com

<https://github.com/adamsitnik/ExceptionalExceptions>